

Tutorial 5 (solutions)

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{1.} \quad (a) \quad \varphi_X(t) &= E e^{itX} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^\infty e^{itx} e^{-x} x^{r-1} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-it)^r \Gamma(r)} \int_0^\infty e^{-(1-it)x} [(1-it)x]^{r-1} d[(1-it)x] \\ &= \underline{(1-it)^{-r}} \end{aligned}$$

= $\Gamma(r)$ (changing var's
 $x \rightarrow (1-it)x = y$,
as if $(1-it)$
is real; cf.
slide (140))

$$\begin{aligned} (b) \quad \varphi_X^{(k)}(t) &= \frac{d^{k-1}}{dt^{k-1}} \varphi_X'(t) = \frac{d^{k-1}}{dt^{k-1}} (-r)(-i)(1-it)^{-r-1} \\ &= ir \frac{d^{k-1}}{dt^{k-1}} (1-it)^{-r-1} = \dots = i^k r(r+1)\dots(r+k-1) \\ &= \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ (7), (137)}}}{i^k} EX^k, \text{ hence } \underline{EX^k = r(r+1)\dots(r+k-1)}, \\ & \hspace{15em} k=1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

(c) Not stable: e.g. $\varphi_X(t)\varphi_X(t) = (1-it)^{-2r} \neq \varphi_X(at)e^{itb} = (1-iat)^{-r}e^{itb}$ whatever the choice of a, b is (cf. bottom of (158)). Alternatively, $\varphi_X(t)$ is not of the form $e^{it\mu - ct|t|^\alpha(1-i)}$.

But infinitely divisible as for any $n=1, 2, \dots$ $(\varphi_X(t))^{1/n} = (1-it)^{-r/n}$ is a ch.f. again (that of $\delta(r/n, 1)$).

620302 $\frac{12}{1-5}$

(d) $\varphi_{-X}(t) = \varphi_X(-t) = (1+it)^{-r}$, so that $\varphi_X(t) = (1-it)^{-r}$ (4), (3.6)

$\varphi_Y(t) = \varphi_X(t)\varphi_{-X}(t) = (1-it)^{-r}(1+it)^{-r}$

(5), (3.6) as $X_1, -X_2$ are indep't RV's

$= [(1-it)(1+it)]^{-r} = [1-(it)^2]^{-r} = \underline{(1+t^2)^{-r}}$.

When $r=1$, we obtain $\frac{1}{1+t^2}$, which is the ch. f. of the double exponential distrⁿ (145)

(e) If $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_X(t)| dt < \infty$, then can claim that there is a cont's density. Now

$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_X(t)| dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(1+t^2)^r} < \infty$ if and

only if $2r > 1$, i.e. $r > \frac{1}{2}$ (recall

that $\int_1^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^a} < \infty \iff a > 1$ and note

that $(1+t^2)^r = t^{2r} \underbrace{(1+t^{-2})^r}_{\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty} \approx t^{2r}$

2. (a) $\varphi_Y(t) = E e^{itY_j} \stackrel{\text{Total Prob'ly Law}}{=} E(e^{itY_j} | Y_j = 0) \underbrace{P(Y_j = 0)}_{=1-p}$

$+ E(e^{itY_j} | Y_j > 0) \underbrace{P(Y_j > 0)}_{=p}$

$= \underbrace{e^{it \cdot 0}}_1 \times (1-p) + p \int_0^{\infty} e^{itx} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\mu} e^{-x/\mu}}_{\text{density of exp'l with mean } \mu} dx$

620-302 $\frac{3}{7-5}$

$$= 1 - p + p \frac{1}{1 - it\mu} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x(\frac{1}{\mu} - it)} d\left[x\left(\frac{1}{\mu} - it\right)\right]$$

= 1 (as if $\frac{1}{\mu} - it$ were real)

$$= 1 + p \left(\frac{1}{1 - it\mu} - 1 \right) = 1 + \frac{pit\mu}{1 - it\mu}$$

(b) $\varphi_X(t) \stackrel{\text{by indep'ce}}{=} (\varphi_Y(t))^n = \left(1 + \frac{pit\mu}{1 - it\mu} \right)^n$

$\approx \exp\left\{ \frac{npit\mu}{1 - it\mu} \right\} \stackrel{\text{using } np=5, \mu=2}{\approx} \exp\left\{ \frac{pit\mu}{1 - it\mu} \right\}$ as p is small

$= \exp\left\{ \frac{10it}{1 - 2it} \right\}$.

(c) • Not stable as $P(Y=0) = P\left(\prod_{j=1}^n \{Y_j=0\}\right) = (1-p)^n > 0$ (any stable distrⁿ has a density!)

• But infinitely divisible as for any $m \geq 1$,

$$(\varphi_X(t))^{1/m} = \exp\left\{ \frac{10m^{-1}it}{1 - 2it} \right\} \text{ is again a ch.f.}$$

— can be obtained in the same way as $\varphi_X(t)$, but assuming the number of claims $= \frac{n}{m}$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ (in fact, both ch.f.'s correspond to compound Poisson distrⁿs).

(d) As noted in (c), $P(X=0) = (1-p)^n$

$$= 0.999^{5000} \approx 0.00672 \text{ (or, using } 1-p \approx e^{-p} \text{)}$$

$$(1-p)^n \approx e^{-np} = e^{-5} \approx 0.00673$$

3. First need to show: $E|X_n| < \infty$. We have:

$$E|X_n| = E|S_n^2 - n\sigma^2| \leq ES_n^2 + n\sigma^2$$

\uparrow as $|a \pm b| \leq |a| + |b|$

$$= \underbrace{\text{Var } S_n}_{= n\sigma^2} + \underbrace{(ES_n)^2}_{= nEY_1 = 0} + n\sigma^2 = 2n\sigma^2 < \infty, \text{ OK.}$$

$$(a) E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = E[(S_n + Y_{n+1})^2 - (n+1)\sigma^2 | \mathcal{F}_n]$$

$$\stackrel{[CE1,3]}{=} E[S_n^2 + 2S_n Y_{n+1} + Y_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] - (n+1)\sigma^2$$

$$\stackrel{[CE1]}{=} E[S_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] + 2E[S_n Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] + E[Y_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_n] - (n+1)\sigma^2$$

$$\stackrel{[CE2]}{=} S_n^2 + 2S_n \underbrace{E(Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)}_{\substack{\text{|| same reason} \\ EY_{n+1} = 0}} + \underbrace{EY_{n+1}^2}_{\substack{\text{|| [CE3], indep.} \\ = \sigma^2 \text{ (as } EY=0)}} - (n+1)\sigma^2$$

$$= S_n^2 - n\sigma^2 = X_n, \text{ OK}$$

(b) Since $\mathcal{F}_n' \subset \mathcal{F}_n$ (as $X_k = S_k^2 - k\sigma^2, k=1, \dots, n$, are all functions of $Y_j, j=1, \dots, n$,

there is more information in (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) than in (X_1, \dots, X_n) ,

$$E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n') = E\left[\overbrace{E(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)}^{= X_n \text{ from (a)}} \mid \mathcal{F}_n' \right]$$

↑
[CE4], as $\mathcal{F}_n \supset \mathcal{F}_n'$

$$= E(X_n | \mathcal{F}_n') = X_n$$

↑
[CE2], as X_n is \mathcal{F}_n' -measurable,

4. That for all the processes $E|\cdot| < \infty$, is clear. Using $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(N_s, s \leq t)$:

(a) $E(N_{t+s} - \lambda(t+s) | \mathcal{F}_t) = E(N_t + N_{t+s} - N_t | \mathcal{F}_t) - \lambda(t+s)$

$$= N_t + \underbrace{E(N_{t+s} - N_t | \mathcal{F}_t)}_{= E(N_{t+s} - N_t) = \lambda s} - \lambda(t+s)$$

by indep^t increments

$= N_t - \lambda t$, OK

Don't forget to say what prop's of CE's you are using!

(b) Similarly to 3(a).

(c) $E\left(e^{uN_{t+s} - \lambda(t+s)(e^u - 1)} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right) = e^{uN_t - \lambda(t+s)(e^u - 1)} \times$

$$\times \underbrace{E\left(e^{u(N_{t+s} - N_t)} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)}_{\text{mgf of } P_0(\lambda s)}$$

$$= e^{uN_t - \lambda t(e^u - 1)} \times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{uk} (\lambda s)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda s} = e^{\lambda s(e^u - 1)}$$

OK