

Operations Research Techniques and Algorithms (620-361)

Dr Yao-ban Chan

y.chan@ms.unimelb.edu.au

Telephone: 8344 9073

Office: Room 198, Richard Berry Building

Christina Burt

c.burt@ms.unimelb.edu.au

Telephone: 8344 1797

Office: 139 Barry St

May 16, 2008

Outline

Constrained optimisation
Optimality conditions

Constrained Optimization

We assume throughout this chapter that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 objective function.

For $p, q \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, let

$$g_1, \dots, g_p, h_1, \dots, h_q$$

be C^1 functions from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} and

$$g(x) = (g_i(x))_{i=1}^p \text{ and } h(x) = (h_j(x))_{j=1}^q,$$

so $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ are C^1 vector-valued functions.

The problem of interest is the *nonlinear program*,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_x & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & g(x) \leq 0, \quad h(x) = 0, \end{array} \quad (\text{NLP})$$

where the vector inequality $g(x) \leq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ means $g_i(x) \leq 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, p$, and the vector equality $h(x) = 0$ means $g_j(x) = 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, q$.

If $p = 0$, (NLP) is an equality-constrained problem.

If $p = q = 0$, (NLP) is unconstrained and the first-order necessary condition for x^* to minimize f would be that $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$.

We will generalize this stationarity condition to obtain first-order necessary conditions for (NLP). First we review the easier case of nonlinear programs with only equality constraints.

Optimality conditions for equality-constrained optimization

The equality-constrained NLP is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_x & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & h(x) = 0. \end{array} \quad (1)$$

This is just (NLP) with no inequality constraints, $p = 0$. Lagrange gave the first-order necessary conditions for this problem.

The *Lagrangian* function for (1) is

$$L(x, \eta) := f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^q \eta_j h_j(x) = f(x) + \langle \eta, h(x) \rangle,$$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^q$. The vector η is called the *Lagrange multiplier* corresponding to $h(x)$, in fact each component η_j of η is a multiplier corresponding to each component $h_j(x)$ of $h(x)$.